



In the Clean Coalition's written comments during SGIP reform and follow-on conversations during GIP 2 reform, we discussed more disclosure around tariff timelines. This additional disclosure will allow all parties to see if deadlines are being met and, perhaps more importantly, will inform future reform processes of potential bottlenecks in the process. We note that, in general, the FERC has expressed an interest in increased disclosure by ISOs.

Specifically, we believe that the public queue (the Excel sheet provided by CAISO) should contain columns where the CAISO will provide the dates for a project as follows:

- date application deemed sufficient
- date of scoping meeting (first scheduled meeting)
- date of system impact study (date delivered to interconnection customer)
- date of facilities study (date delivered to interconnection customer)
- date of results meeting (first scheduled meeting)

These five data points should be extremely easy to add to the existing queue. Also, there should be no concerns about confidentiality as this simply represents an extremely limited extension of the information already in queue.

Additionally, information should be provided on each project that fails to clear an Accelerated Option (Fast Track or ISP) and the specific reason for that failure. This information should be provided in the Excel sheet, as well as the quarterly report to FERC.

Proposed language:

On a monthly basis, CAISO will update the public queue (the Excel sheet provided by CAISO) to contain dates for each project as follows:

- date application deemed sufficient
- date of scoping meeting (first scheduled meeting)
- date of system impact study (date delivered to interconnection customer)
- date of facilities study (date delivered to interconnection customer)
- date of results meeting (first scheduled meeting)