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PENINSULA ADVANCED ENERGY COMMUNITY (PAEC)

TASK 4: FINAL BEST PRACTICES: INTERCONNECTION FOR LOCAL, COMMERCIAL-SCALE, RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS

STREAMLINING THE INTERCONNECTION OF ADVANCED ENERGY SOLUTIONS TO THE GRID
Topics Covered -

- Opportunity for faster cheaper development of renewables
- Importance of streamlining interconnection
- Review: Best practices for interconnecting small WDG
  - Information sharing processes
  - Transparent application and review processes
  - Predictable and Reasonable Timelines
  - Queue management
  - Dispute resolution procedures
  - Cost-certainty
  - Cost-sharing for Electrically Related Projects
  - Automation and online interconnection portals
- Model Interconnection Process for small WDG
- Recommendations not yet implemented
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Germany Demonstrates Opportunity

Germany’s annual additions were consistently 4x greater than US additions
(Due to dramatically lower soft costs, including rapid interconnection and cost certainty, in response to comparable compensation offers)

Source: DOE SunShot
German solar is mostly local (on rooftops)

German Solar Capacity Installed through 2012

Source: Paul Gipe, March 2011

Germany’s solar deployments are almost entirely sub-2 MW projects on built environments and interconnected to the distribution grid (not behind-the-meter)
German rooftop solar is 4 to 6 cents/kWh today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Size</th>
<th>Euros/kWh</th>
<th>USD/kWh</th>
<th>California Effective Rate $/kWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 10 kW</td>
<td>0.1270</td>
<td>0.1359</td>
<td>0.0628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kW to 40 kW</td>
<td>0.1236</td>
<td>0.1323</td>
<td>0.0611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1 kW to 750 kW</td>
<td>0.1109</td>
<td>0.1187</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other projects up to 750 kW*</td>
<td>0.0891</td>
<td>0.0953</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Conversion rate for euros to dollars is €1:$1.07
- California’s effective rate is reduced 40% due to tax incentives and then an additional 33% due to the superior solar resource

Replicating German scale and efficiencies would yield rooftop solar today at only between 4 and 6 cents/kWh to California ratepayers

* For projects that are not sited on residential structures or sound barriers.
**Wholesale DG has Superior Value**

The most cost-effective solar is large WDG, not central station due to significant hidden T&D costs.

### Total Ratepayer Cost of Solar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV Project size and type</th>
<th>Distribution Grid</th>
<th>T-Grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 kW roof</td>
<td>500 kW roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required PPA Rate</td>
<td>12-15¢</td>
<td>9-12¢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&amp;D costs</td>
<td>0¢</td>
<td>0¢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratepayer cost per kWh</td>
<td>12-15¢</td>
<td>9-12¢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: CAISO, CEC, CPUC and Clean Coalition

The most cost-effective solar is large WDG, not central station due to significant hidden T&D costs.
Why Interconnection Matters

Addressing growing demand


Some states are leading the curve but all states are on a similar curve. Commercial and residential scale PV represent similar total capacity.
WDG Market awaits: Top 25 Roofs in LA = 75 MW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Potential Size (kW)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,987</td>
<td>300 WESTMONT DR</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,296</td>
<td>3880 N MISSION RD</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,797</td>
<td>400 WESTMONT DR</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4,524</td>
<td>20525 NORDHOFF ST</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4,402</td>
<td>2501 S ALAMEDA ST</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,771</td>
<td>4544 COLORADO BLVD</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,629</td>
<td>1800 N MAIN ST</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3,597</td>
<td>5500 CANOGA AVE</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3,596</td>
<td>20333 NORMANDIE AVE</td>
<td>Food Processing Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,366</td>
<td>8500 BALBOA BLVD</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3,351</td>
<td>6600 TOPANGA CANYON BLVD</td>
<td>Shopping Centers (Regional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,313</td>
<td>401 WESTMONT DR</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3,052</td>
<td>9301 TAMPA AVE</td>
<td>Shopping Centers (Regional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2,806</td>
<td>11428 SHERMAN WAY</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2,703</td>
<td>3820 UNION PACIFIC AVE</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2,693</td>
<td>1601 E OLYMPIC BLVD</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2,673</td>
<td>9120 MASON AVE</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2,672</td>
<td>12745 ARROYO ST</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2,431</td>
<td>5525 W IMPERIAL HWY</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,430</td>
<td>8201 WOODLEY AVE</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2,404</td>
<td>8900 DE SOTO AVE</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2,201</td>
<td>3410 N SAN FERNANDO RD</td>
<td>Lgt Manf.Sm. EQPT. Manuf Sm.Shps Instr.Manuf. Prnt Plnts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>12820 PIERCE ST</td>
<td>Warehousing, Distribution, Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2,149</td>
<td>4024 RADFORD AVE</td>
<td>Motion Picture, Radio &amp; Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2,126</td>
<td>3020 E WASHINGTON BLVD</td>
<td>Heavy Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100+ GW of built environment solar potential in California vs 60 gw of peak load
Ecoplexus project at the Valencia Gardens Apartments in SF. ~800 kW meeting ~80% of the total annual load.

This section of the circuit is now at maximum capacity, but coordinated DER will allow even higher PV penetration when standards are adopted.
Why Interconnection Matters

Location Matters for Applicants & Ratepayers

- Southern California Edison found that siting renewables projects closer to consumers could reduce their T&D upgrade costs by over $2 billion

Transmission costs would be borne by ratepayers

Source: Southern California Edison (2012)
Why Interconnection Matters

Opportunity to reduce transmission costs

*Historical and Projected High Voltage Transmission Access Charges*

*(Does not include comparable Low Voltage Transmission Access Charges)*
Interconnection Policies Vary Nationwide

43 States, + Washington DC and Puerto Rico, have adopted an interconnection policy.

Notes: Numbers indicate system capacity limit in kW. Some state limits vary by customer type (e.g., residential versus non-residential). “No limit” means that there is no stated maximum size for individual systems. Other limits may apply. Generally, state interconnection standards apply only to investor-owned utilities.

From www.dsireusa.org, 2013
Interconnection Goals + Principles

Goals:
• Efficiently incorporate appropriately sited DG as a major clean energy source in a secure, resilient and cost effective electric grid
• Help customers make timely decisions about where to apply, whether to apply, and to commit to interconnect.

Mechanisms:
• Transparency
• Accessibility
• Simplicity
• Speed
• Certainty
Interconnection Barriers

What to avoid

Interconnection processes and cost determinations that are:

• Unpredictable
• Unwieldy
• Untimely
  and ultimately….
• Uncertain
Interconnection Barriers

**Customer** hurdles in applying for interconnection:
- Information about the application process, requirements, costs, and timelines
- Access to grid information for siting and system design
- Utility response time
- Consistency among utility personnel
- Schedule delays – application review, site visits, studies, inspection, installation
- Excessive requirements – equipment and upgrades
- Complex contracts and agreements
- Dispute resolution

**Utility** hurdles in applications for interconnection:
- Errors in application materials
- Excessive application submission and subsequent withdrawal rates
- Utility access to accurate grid information
- Performing grid impact studies
- Coordinating electrically related applications
- Inappropriate system designs triggering additional study
- Scheduling field work – site review, inspection, installation
- Customer negotiation and dispute resolution
Proven Strategies

Plan, prepare and communicate

Utilities can:
- Anticipate future interconnection demand
- Provide clear usable information to support submittal of viable interconnection requests
- Make information highly accessible
- Standardize processing and evaluation of requests to provide efficient, rapid, consistent and predictable results
- Plan integrated solutions to capture benefits of local distributed resources
Proven Strategies

Offer Clear Information

Help customers make a decision quickly about where to build, what to build, and when to commit *before submitting an application*. This can be achieved through:

• Accountable standards and processes
  
  All parties rely upon known rules, commitments, and timelines.

• Clear review processes
  
  Review processes should emphasize predictability, flexibility and objectivity, including screening and solution options

• Certainty in costs and responsibilities
  
  Clear cost determination means less risk and lower costs for developers, utilities, and consumers alike. Earlier cost determination means faster decisions.

• Transparent and accessible information
  
  Too often, interconnection information is hard to come by
Accountable standards and processes

• Common statewide and national standards, practices, procedures, and contracts.

• Interconnection procedures designed to handle the expected scale of requests across all categories of distribution level interconnection.

• A standard application, review, and timelines, including any necessary studies.
Clear Review Processes

Review processes should emphasize predictability, flexibility and objectivity, including screening and solution options:
1. Simplified review of appropriate projects
2. Default approval of conforming projects
3. Rapid resolution of most common issues
4. Identification of issues that will require further study if they cannot be addressed through supplemental review or simple project modification
5. Determination of specific technical study requirements where needed.
Certainty in costs and responsibilities

Clear cost determination is the overriding issue for customer decisions and for a successful interconnection process.

Address the needs of both customer and utility toward an Interconnection Agreement.

Adopted standards, requirements, and planning should be forward looking.

Reasonable fees, schedules, milestones and enforcement penalties for all parties support timely responses.
Effective Interconnection is Simple and Transparent

Typical California paperwork for one project

Could be a 1kW-sized project, but maximum 1MW (via CSI program). Even more paperwork for California projects larger than 1MW (via RPS program).

Typical Germany paperwork for one project

Could be a 1kW or 20MW-sized project, or bigger.

Reducing bureaucracy alone can shave costs by 20%

Source: Gary Gerber, President of CalSEIA and Sun Light & Power, June 2009
Available Solutions – Online Forms

Benefits of Online Forms & Agreements

Online forms:

• Ensure applications are fully completed
• Efficient and accurate utility data capture
• Accessible application status tracking for utility and customer schedules
• Automated communications for customers and utility staff
• Verification of receipt
• Faster turnaround times
Principles for Interconnection Processes

Transparent and accessible information

Identifying “what can go where” with little or no modification or customer cost.

Current grid information should be maintained and readily available to generation interconnection staff and customers in order to:

• Address qualification screens, predict costs, reduce potential redesign and restudy, and generally know "what can go where" early in the project development process
• Efficiently process interconnection requests
• Track the progress and outcomes of interconnection requests

Current grid information can be made available through:

• Maps, databases, and/or Pre-Application Reports regarding existing and planned system capacities.
• Application queue status and results.
Pre-Application Reports

**Standard Report: (300)**

- Available Capacity
- Voltage
- Distance from Substation
- Line Section peak load estimate, and minimum load data, when available.
- Number of protective devices and number of voltage regulating devices
- Whether or not three-phase power is available at the site
- Limiting conductor rating
- Known constraints such as, but not limited to:
  - electrical dependencies at that location, short circuit interrupting capacity issues, power quality or stability issues on the circuit, capacity constraints, or secondary networks
Primary Service Package: ($225)

- Line section configuration
- Absolute minimum load, and minimum load during the 10 AM – 4 PM period
- Existing upstream protection details:
  (a) Device type (Fuse Breaker, Recloser)
  (b) Device controller (device make/model ex: 50E/50T)
  (c) Phase settings [IEEE Curve, Lever, Min Trip (A), Inst Trip(A)]
  (d) Ground settings [IEEE Curve, Lever, Min Trip (A), Inst Trip(A)]
  (e) Rated continuous current
  (f) Short Circuit interrupting capability
  (g) Confirm if the device is capable of bi-directional operation
- Available Fault Current
Secondary/Behind The Meter Package: ($800)

- Transformer data
  (a) Existing service transformer kVA rating
  (b) Primary Voltage and secondary Voltage rating
  (c) Configuration on both Primary and Secondary Side (i.e., Delta, Wye, Grounded Wye, etc.)
  (d) Characteristic impedance (%Z)
  (e) Confirm if the transformer is serving only one customer or multiple customers
  (f) Provide the Available Fault Current on both the Primary and Secondary Side

- Primary & Secondary Service Characteristics
  (a) Conductor type (AL or CU) and size (AWG)
  (b) Conductor insulation type
  (c) Number of parallel runs
  (d) Confirm if the existing secondary service is 3-wire or 4-wire
The Future

Interconnection 3.0
A roadmap to the Future
• Guiding generation to where it's most useful
• Recognizing locational benefits,
• Integration with ADR, EVs, Storage, D-grid upgrades and Smart Grid development
• Eventual goal is “1 click” instant study results – fully automated, or largely automated, interconnection review.

The next major step toward this future is Hosting Capacity Analysis
New ICA 2.0 Map & Data

- Highly location specific
- Detailed hourly data on any operational constraints factors
- Capacity values for specific technologies (PV, storage, fuel cell...)
- Identification of constraints and upgrade costs
Typical Fixed PV ICA Value

ICA Value – From
- Thermal
- Voltage
- PQ/Voltage Fluctuations
- Protection
- System Flexibility

• Typical PV shape from PV-Watts Tool
  • 95th percentile curve

PW Watts Parameters
- DC size = Normalized to 1.0
- Module Type = Standard
- System Losses = 14%
- Tilt = 18 Degrees
- DC-AC ratio = 1.0
- Inverter Efficiency = 96%

THESE PARAMETERS MUST BE CONSIDERED
What is Integration Capacity Analysis* 

Not all hosting capacity is created the same 
• The methodology used in California will specify how much DER hosting capacity may be available on the distribution network down to the line section or node level 
• This analysis quantifies the capability of the distribution system to integrate DER within thermal ratings, protection system limits and power quality and safety standards 
• Perform an analysis using dynamic modeling methods using power flow modeling software tools 

Example for Southern California Edison 
Approximately average of 600 nodes per feeder, 576 hours and several categories 
= Approximately 10 billion data points 

• Customers may use the uniform ICA values with translator to develop technology specific ICA values 
  • PV+ storage, PV with trackers, peak shaving storage, etc. 

*Also known or referred to as “Hosting Capacity Analysis” in several forums and research work activities
1. Calculated for all 3-phase nodes and line sections of radial distribution feeders (circuits)
2. Account for feeder’s electrical components Thermal Loading limitations
3. Account for deviations is Steady State Voltage (SSV) throughout the feeder. *Injecting real power at one node must not overvoltage other ports of the circuit(s)*
4. Impacts of DER to Protection systems. *Adding DER at one node must not desensitize the relay to a point that it cannot effectively protect the system*
5. Impacts of DER to Voltage Fluctuations and Power Quality (PQ). *Must not create significant voltage changes in system voltage*
6. Impacts of DER to Operational Flexibility. *The ability to reconfigure the distribution system as necessary for operations*
Future ICA Calculations will look to include:

1. Substation Level limitations (Transformer Banks, busses...)
2. Transmission Limitations
3. Networked systems
4. Secondary systems, service drops, service transformers
5. ICA values for rotating machine (Synchronous or Induction Generation)
6. Single Phase radials
7. Smart Inverter functionality –Volt/Var with reactive power priority
8. All PV system configurations
   –PV ICA only addresses PV installation equivalent to what was used in PV-Watts® when determining regional PV profiles Does not address tracking systems, inverters limit output, etc.
The current “state” of HCA in the U.S.
PAEC Streamlined Interconnection Pilot

• Goal: Replicate the streamlined NEM interconnection review and pricing process for qualified wholesale applicants

• Pilot: Expedited Review & Pre-determined Fixed Interconnection Fee
  • Pending PG&E approval

• Core Pilot Components
  • Eligibility: ICA Qualifying Projects Below 1 MW
  • Guaranteed Fast Track Review
  • Standardized Interconnection Fee

• Additional Components (Phase II)
  • Automate the Interconnection Approval Process for ICA Compliant Projects
  • Explore Enhanced ICA Data & Modeling Access
  • Allow Combined Interconnection Applications for DER Aggregations
  • Upgrades: Utility Ownership (without transfer)
  • Qualified Third Party Upgrade Bid and Construction
Further reading:

- Peninsula Advanced Energy Community (PAEC) initiative

- Clean Coalition’s *Model Interconnection Tariff and Procedures*


Thanks for listening

Questions, thoughts, ideas?

Note to California applicants: New April 2018 inverter standards require Reactive Power Priority after July 26 – *Make sure your equipment is certified.*
Solar Siting Survey – sample site detail

- Potential PV Capacity: 445 kW
- Woodside Central Pkg 2
- 2545 El Camino Real
- Redwood City, CA 94061
- PV Area: 89,000 sqft
- Structure Type: Parking Lot
- PV Density Potential: Low

ICA Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>UoM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeder ID</td>
<td>BELL HAVEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Minimal Impact</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Possible Impact</td>
<td>4,330</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV Minimal Impact</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV Possible Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Directions: To here - From here
Local Capacity Optimization

Utility Data
- Customer & transformer loads
- Network model & circuit map
- Equipment list & upgrade plans
- O&M schedule

Other data
- Solar insolation
- Weather forecasting
- Assumptions for DR/EE/EV charging, etc.
- Product performance specs, e.g. storage

Baseline Powerflow
- Acquire all data sets, validate accuracy
- Model existing powerflow

Baseline Capacity
- Vary location & size of local generation to define existing capacity
- Use advanced inverter features, e.g. for voltage (VAr) control

Medium Capacity
- Add low-cost options such as DR/EE, EV charging, & cost-effective storage
- Optimize via locations & costs, etc.

Higher Capacity
- Add higher-cost solutions such as major upgrades, more storage, outage performance goals, etc.
- Optimize via locations & costs, etc.

- Validate with utility & technology vendors
- Maintain or improve grid reliability and power quality

No Cost local renewable capacity
Low Cost local renewable capacity
More Cost local renewable capacity
Define “optimal locations” for DER

**Optimal locations** = highest net value to the grid over relevant time period

- **Lowest cost**
  - Grid upgrade costs
  - Interconnection costs, regardless of who directly pays these costs

- **Highest value**
  - Avoided/deferred distribution upgrades
  - Avoided/deferred transmission investments
  - Avoided T&D line and congestion losses
  - Avoided transmission access charges
  - Improved grid reliability and power quality
  - Local capacity value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lowest costs</th>
<th>Medium costs</th>
<th>High costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest value</strong></td>
<td>Best locations</td>
<td>Good locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium value</strong></td>
<td>Good locations</td>
<td>Average locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lowest value</strong></td>
<td>Subprime locations</td>
<td>Poor locations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>